Government College of Technology, Coimbatore - 641 013

(An Autonomous Institution affiliated to Anna University, NAAC accredited with À'Grade)

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

Minutes of External Peer Review Meeting

Date: 11.11.2019

The External Peer Review meeting for Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) was held on 11.11.2019, 11.00 AM at Conference Hall. The IQAC has constituted an external peer review team with the following members to assess the performance of the college.

External Members

Dr. K. Manonmani
 Professor of Mechanical Engineering
 Alagappa Chettiar College of Engg and Technology
 Karaikudi

2. Dr. T. Purus tothaman
Professor of Electronics & Communication Engineering
Directorate of Technical Education
Chennai

Internal Members

1	Dr.P.Thamarai, Principal	Convenor
2	Dr.R.Thenmozhi, PCE(CAS)	IQAC Co-rdinator
3	Dr.Maragatham Nataraj, Dean, Avinashilingam Uty	External - Academics
4	Prof. Shanmugam	External - Alumnus
5	Dr. A. Sudhakar	External - Industry
6	Dr.D.Padmini, HOD/CIVIL	Member
7	Dr.P.K.Palani, PME	Member
8	Dr. Latha Mercy, HOD/EEE	Member
9	Dr. O. Saranya, HOD/ECE	Member
10	Dr.Prasana Moorthy, HOD/EIE	Member
11	Dr.Miraclin Joyce Pamila, HOD/CSE	Member
12	Dr.S.Rathi, HOD/IT	Member
12	Dr.J.Jeyanthi, HOD/IBT	Member
13	Dr.S.P.Jeyapriya, Asso. PCE(CAS)	IQAC Co-Coordinator
14	Dr.R.Rajeswari,Asso.PEEE(CAS)	Member
15	Dr.Latha Venkateshwari, Asso.PEng	Member
16	Thiru.R.Gunasekaran, PA to Principal	Member

Principal welcomed the external review members, and Internal IQAC members and pointed out about the benchmarks that has been formulated for the enhancement of quality of the institution. The IQAC Coordinator mentioned about the following quality enhancement strategies the college has devised for the enhancement of quality of the institution.

- I. Curricular aspects
- II. Academic Performance
- III. Research and Innovation
- IV. Student Support and Progression
- V. Infrastructure facilities
- VI. Institutional values and best practices

I	CURRICULAR ASPECTS:						
•	Description	Very good	Good	Satisfac	tory	Poor	
1	Quality of vision and mission statements		✓			<i>t</i>	
2	Implementation of choice-based credit system (CBCS)		✓				
3	Adequacy of courses having focus on employability/ entrepreneurship/skill development		-		/		
4	Evidence of procedures for framing POs, PSOs and their adequacy			,	/		
5	Evidence for framing of COs and their attainment against set targets			,	/		
6	Evidence for structured feedback system		✓				
7	Evidence for NBA Accreditation of UG/PG programs		✓				
8	Quality of UT and SEE question papers		✓				
9	Preparation and adherence to Academic Calendar by the institution	· 🗸					
10	Implementation of examination reforms	✓					
11	ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE	- VIII - 1907-					
	Pass percentage of graduating students for 2018-19	(Men	tion Very	Rati good, Goo	ng d, Satisi	factory or Poor)	
	Name of the Department	No. of students Enrolled	No. of student s	Target	Attain		
1	Civil	451	279	85	62	Good	_
2	Mechanical	472	326	85	69	Good	

3	EEE			261	210	85	80)	Ve	ry Good
4	ECE				198	85	78	3	Ve	ry Good
5	EIE			223	136	85	61			Good
6	Production			223	154	85	69		(Good
7	CSE			243	170	85	70		(Good
8	IT			230	165	85	72		(Good
9	IBT			319	250	85	78		Ver	y Good
	PG Program	the state of the s								-
10	Structural Eng			29	27	95	93	3 Ver		y Good
11	Environmenta			34	31	95	91			y Good
12	Geotechnical	Engg		26	22	95	85		(Good
13	Engg Design			31	28	95	90		(Good
14	Manufacturin			33	26	95	79		Sati	sfactory
15	Thermal Engg			32	29	95	91		Ver	y Good
16	Power System			29	29	95	100)	Ver	y Good
17	Power electro		ves	31	25	95	81		(Good
18	Applied Electr	onics		28	20	95	71		Sati	sfactory
19	VLSI Design			31	22	95	71		(Good
20	CSE			32	26	95	81		(Good
	OOOL CTUDE	NIMOL DI A CI								
-	80% STUDE Name of	Total Na	EMENTS W	ITH MEDIA	N SALARY					
	the Dept	Total No. of	No. of students	% of pla		Very	Good	Satis	facto	Poor
	ше Бері	students	placed	Target	Attained	good		-r	y	
1	Civil	127	49	80	39				/	
2	Mechanical	137	53	80	39				<u> </u>	
3	EEE	72	39	80	54		✓	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
4	ECE	66	53	80	80	1	•			
5	EIE	56	31	80	55		✓			
6	Production	61	30	80	49					
7	CSE	70	58	80	83	7		-		
8	lT	59	43	80	73	-	✓	<u> </u>		
9	IBT	82	48	80	59		V			
10	PG Program	mes								
10	Structural Engg	15	3	60	20 .			Γ,	/	
11	Geotechnic al Engg	12	2	60	17					
12	Engg	15	5	60	33					
13	Design Manufactur	15	2	60				,		
14	ing Engg Thermal				13					1
	Engg	15	3	60	20				/	
15	Power Systems	15	7	60	47		✓			
	Engg						-			

16	Power	15	5	60	33	. (V	* 1
	electronics								3.3
- 4	and Drives								of the
17	VLSI Design	15	7	60	47		✓		1000
18	CSE	15	4	60	27			✓	
III									
	Qualification			the second second second second second					
	Name of	Total No.	No. of	% of F		Very	Good	Satisf	Poor
	the Dept	of	Faculty	with	-	good		actory	
		Faculty	with	Target	Attained				
	6: "		Ph.D						ALC: U
1	Civil	25	10	60	40		✓		
2	Mechanical	24	9	60	36		✓		
3	EEE	16	9	60	36		✓		al de
4	ECE	9	3	60	12			42	✓
5	EIE	11	3	60	12				✓
6	Production	12	4	60	16				✓
7	CSE	10	5	60	20			1	
8	IT	8	1	60	4				✓
9	IBT	16	5	60	20			✓	
	Academic Re	search - % c	f faculty ha			tions:			
SI.	Name of	the Dept	Total No.		igh quality	Very	Good	Satisf	Poor
No.			of	publi	cations	good		actory	
			Faculty						
1	Civil		25		21		✓.		
2	Mechanical		24		38	✓			
3	EEE		16	11/10	40	/			
4	ECE		9		6	ļ		/	
5	EIE		11		3	-			✓
6	Production		12		3				<u> </u>
7	CSE		10		5				- 1
8	IT		8		-				✓
9	IBT		16		28		✓		
10			25		12		/		
		esearch - No							
ľ	Name of	the Dept	No. of	Orga	Organization		tion of	Amount Received	
			Faculty			the project			
1.	CSE		1		AICTE - RPS		ears	Rs.6,37,353/-	
2.	CSE		1		AICTE - RPS		ears	Rs.6,34,300/-	
3.			1		CSIR	3 Years		Rs.5,24,000/-	
4.	Civil		1		TEQIP III – CoE-ES		ears	Rs. 1.5 Crores	
5.	EEE		1		II – CoE-AER		ears		1.5 Crores
6.	EEE		1		nadu State For Science	1	rear	Rs.7,500/-	
				•	echnology				
-	Industry –	Institute Lir	ıkage (Men				Good		
1.		MoU with In					dood		
1.	DiBining Of								

	All the departments have signed MoU	with Indu	stries. To li	st few					
	M/s Armour steel buildings Pvt. Ltd. Salem								
	M/s HiTech Concrete solutions Pvt. Ltd, Chennai								
	CLRI, Adyar, Chennai								
	Michigan State University, USA								
	M/s Pyramid India Design Ltd, Coimbatore								
2.	Members from Industries are acting as BoS members, Academic council members and Dept.								
	advisory board								
3.	100% of UG students and 60% PG stu								
4.	10% of the students are doing their final year project in industries								
5.	Industry need based elective courses	are offere	d to the stu	dents by the indus	try.				
		20101							
IV	STUDENT SUPPORT AND PROGRE		T C 1	G 41 G					
Sl.	Description	Very	Good	Satisfactory	Poor				
No.		good							
1	Student's participation in IIT's		\ \ \ \ \						
	NIT's, Govt. Institutions and Private		\						
	institutions.		-		1				
2	Prize achievements by students in		✓		×				
	various events								
3	Participation in Social awareness		✓.						
	activities								
4.	Scholarships and Financial Support	✓							
5	Number of capability enhancement			✓					
	and development schemes								
6	Institutional mechanism for								
	transparency, timely redressal of			9					
	student grievances, Prevention of		✓						
	sexual harassment and ragging								
	cases								
7	Student progression to higher								
	education in percentage during the			✓					
	year								
8	Number of awards/medals for								
ĺ	outstanding performance in								
	sports/cultural activities at		✓						
9	national/international level		,		·				
9	Role of alumni in college	/							
	development			1.1					
V	INFRASTRUCTURE FACILITIES								
		Very	Good	Satisfactory	Poor				
		good							
1.	Adequacy of infrastructural	8554							
	facilities to improve the teaching								
	learning process		./						
	Class rooms		•						
	Laboratories		1		1				

	Seminar halls				
	Faculty rooms				
	Smart class rooms				
2.	Internet facilities for faculty and students		✓		
3.	Computing facilities		-		
4.	Library resources		 		
5.					
3.	Common facilities(Bank with ATM,		/		
	Reprographic facilities, hostels,				
VI	canteen etc)	PDDACTIC	FC		
VI	INSTITUTIONAL VALUES AND BEST	Very	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
	Description	good	dood	bucibiaecory	
1	Environmental Consciousness and Sustainability/Alternate Energy initiatives		✓		
2.	Activities conducted for promotion of universal Values and Ethics		7 ✓ ,		
3.	Adequacy of having best practices		✓		
VII	GOVERNANCE, LEADERSHIP AND M	IANAGEM	ENT		
	Description	Very good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1.	Teaching and nonteaching staff attended training programs		√ √		
2.	Adherence to Quality policies		✓		
3.	Various administrative			*	
	committees/cells/clubs and its	✓			
	duties		*	2	
4.	Financial support for staff training	✓			
5.	Benefits to Teaching and		,		
	nonteaching staff		✓		
6.	Safety measures in the college		✓		

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) has contributed significantly for institutionalizing the quality assurance strategies and processes. The institution reviews its teaching learning process, structures and methodologies of operations and learning outcomes through IQAC set up as per norms. The committee appreciates the well maintained academic records/course files, infrastructure facilities, students involvement in various extracurricular and cocurricular activities.

Suggestions for improvement:

- 1. Identify and conduct more remedial classes for weak students in analytical subjects which in turn improves placement also.
- 2. More attention is required in faculty research activities for the publication in high indexed journals.
- 3. Faculty attention is also very much needed in funded research projects.

4. It is observed that student placements are reasonably good under the given constraints in general. But needs improvement in certain core branches.

External Auditor 2

Dr.T. Purus rothaman Professor of Elec. & Commn. Engg (CAS) DOTE, Chennai External Auditor 1

Dr. K. Manonmani Professor of Mechanical Engg ACCET, Karaikudi

IQAC Coordinator

RYLW11.11.2019

Dr.R. Thenmozhi Professor of Civil Engg(CAS) GCT, Coimbatore Chairman

11/11/2019

Dr. P.Thamarai Principal GCT, Coimbatore